

Citation for published version:

Watras, CJ, Morrison, KA, Mather, J, Milewski, P & Hanson, PC 2014, 'Correcting CDOM fluorescence measurements for temperature effects under field conditions in freshwaters', *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 23-24. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.23>

DOI:

[10.4319/lom.2014.12.23](https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.23)

Publication date:

2014

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Correcting CDOM fluorescence measurements for temperature effects under field conditions in freshwaters

Carl J Watras^{1,2*}, Ken A. Morrison^{1,2}, Jeff Mather³, Paul Milewski⁴ and Paul C. Hanson²

¹Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, UW-Trout Lake Research Station, Boulder Junction, WI, USA 54512

²Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

³SeaPoint Sensors, Inc. Exeter, NH

⁴Department of Mathematics, University of Bath, Bath, UK

Abstract

A method recently proposed by Ryder et al. (2012) as the preferred way to compensate for temperature quenching of CDOM fluorescence is mathematically equivalent to the prior method that they claim to improve upon.

Comment

In a recent article published in *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, Ryder and co-authors (2012) propose a temperature compensation method for field measurements of CDOM fluorescence. They argue that their method improves upon a prior method proposed by Watras et al. (2011). Unfortunately, Ryder et al (2012) misapplied the prior method and obtained erroneous results that invalidate their comparison. In fact, it can be shown that the two methods are mathematically equivalent.

Watras et al (2011) proposed a temperature-specific coefficient of fluorescence, (ρ), that is calculated as the quotient: “slope/intercept at a given reference temperature.” Since ρ was shown to be relatively constant for the relationship between temperature and CDOM fluorescence across a wide DOM concentration range, the equation $CDOM_r = CDOM_m / (1 + \rho[T_m - T_r])$ could be used to remove the effects of temperature quench as DOM increases (cf. Baker 2005; Downing et al. 2012). An analogous equation is used widely in limnology and oceanography to correct field measurements of conductivity.

Unfortunately, Ryder et al (2012) miscalculated ρ as the quotient: “slope/CDOM fluorescence at 0°C.” This miscalculation leads to errors that increase as the reference temperature departs from 0°C. It explains why their use of our equation gave poor results. To illustrate this, we recalculated ρ using data from their Table 1. For the Glenamong site on 3 January 2011, the intercept at 20°C is $214 - (2.45 \times 20) = 165$, yielding $\rho = -0.245/165 = -0.015$. Substituting this correct value for ρ , rather than their computed value of -0.011 , removes the temperature effect from the data. This can be shown to be true in

every case, invalidating the comparisons presented on Tables 1, 2, and 3 and on Figs. 3 and 4 in Ryder et al (2012).

More importantly, it can be demonstrated that the two methods are actually equivalent when properly applied.

Given (from Ryder et al. 2012)

$$CDOM_{ref} = \{CDOM_{meas} \cdot [1 + f_t \cdot (T_{ref} - T_{meas})]\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$f_t = m / (T_{meas} \cdot m + C) \quad (2)$$

by substitution

$$CDOM_{ref} = \{CDOM_{meas} \cdot [1 + m \cdot (T_{ref} - T_{meas}) / (T_{meas} \cdot m + C)]\} \quad (3)$$

and

$$CDOM_{ref} = \{CDOM_{meas} \cdot [(T_{meas} \cdot m + C + m \cdot T_{ref} - m \cdot T_{meas}) / (T_{meas} \cdot m + C)]\} \quad (4)$$

$$CDOM_{ref} = CDOM_{meas} \cdot [(m \cdot T_{ref} + C) / (m \cdot T_{meas} + C)] \quad (5)$$

$$CDOM_{ref} = CDOM_{meas} \cdot [1 / \{(m \cdot T_{meas} + C) / (m \cdot T_{ref} + C)\}] \quad (6)$$

$$CDOM_{ref} = CDOM_{meas} \cdot [1 / \{(m \cdot T_{meas} + C + m \cdot T_{ref} - m \cdot T_{ref}) / (m \cdot T_{ref} + C)\}] \quad (7)$$

$$CDOM_{ref} = CDOM_{meas} \cdot [1 / \{1 + (m \cdot T_{meas} - m \cdot T_{ref}) / (m \cdot T_{ref} + C)\}] \quad (8)$$

*Corresponding author: E-mail: cjwatras@wisc.edu

$$\text{CDOM}_{\text{ref}} = \text{CDOM}_{\text{meas}} \cdot [1 / \{1 + m \cdot (T_{\text{meas}} - T_{\text{ref}}) / (m \cdot T_{\text{ref}} + C)\}] \quad (9)$$

but since

$$m / (m \cdot T_{\text{ref}} + C) = \rho \quad (10)$$

we arrive back at Watras et al. (2011)

$$\text{CDOM}_{\text{ref}} = \text{CDOM}_{\text{meas}} \cdot [1 / \{1 + \rho \cdot (T_{\text{meas}} - T_{\text{ref}})\}] \quad (11)$$

Considering that the method proposed by Ryder et al. (2012) does not demonstrate any improvement over the prior method proposed by Watras et al (2011), and considering that the prior method was shown to apply over a wide range of DOM, we do not agree that the Ryder method is preferable.

References:

- Baker, A. 2005. Thermal fluorescence quenching properties of dissolved organic matter. *Water Res.* 39:4405-4412 [doi:10.1016/j.watres.2005.08.023].
- Downing, B. D., B..A. Pellerin, B..A. Bergamaschi, J. F. Saraceno, and T. E.C. Kraus. 2012. Seeing the light: The effects of particles, dissolved materials, and temperature on in situ measurements of DOM fluorescence in rivers and streams. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 10:767-775 [doi:10.4319/lom.2012.10.767].
- Ryder, E., and others. 2012. Temperature quenching of CDOM fluorescence sensors: temporal and spatial variability in the temperature response and a recommended temperature correction equation. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 10:1004-1010 [doi:10.4319/lom.2012.10.1004].
- Watras, C. J., P. C. Hanson, T. L. Stacy, K. M. Morrison, J. Mather, Y. -H. Hu, and P. Milewski. 2011. A temperature compensation method for CDOM fluorescence sensors in freshwater. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 9:296-301 [doi:10.4319/lom.2011.9.296].

Submitted 7 August 2013

Revised 20 November 2013

Accepted 16 December 2013